

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 02/14/2006

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/784,755		02/23/2004	Roger Hitchcock	16015.1 2201 EXAMINER	
22913	7590	02/14/2006	·		
WORKMA			NGUYEN, MATTHEW VAN		
(F/K/A WO) 60 EAST SC		NYDEGGER & SEE MPLE	LEY)	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1000 EAGL		- - ···		2838	
SALT LAK	E CITY. 1	UT 84111			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	7
Office Action Summary	10/784,755 Examiner	HITCHCOCK, ROGER Art Unit	
,			#1 FT
The MAILING DATE of this communication	MATTHEW V. NGUYEN	2838 the correspondence address	115
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a replicated will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT attute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. Jly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	1344. 1374. 1375. 1476.
Status			i din
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23	3 February 2004.		"
	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	wance except for formal matte	rs, prosecution as to the merits is	. Inter-
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			-
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the applicati	on.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without			#
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to			***
8) Claim(s) 1-23 are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		1 1 150
Application Papers			111
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner		, i ii.
10) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam		biected to by the Examiner	e: 44
Applicant may not request that any objection to t			******
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con			1
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the			"
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
•	:iibd251100 0	440(-) (-1) (-6)	1301
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (1).	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	anto baya baan ragaiyad		1 140
1. Certified copies of the priority docume		nlightion No.	****
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	·	· ———	-
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p		eceived in this National Stage	
application from the International Bur		occived	21 17
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	iscorule certilled copies not r	cceiveu.	-
			100
			1
Attachment(s)			1 14
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	· 	immary (PTO-413)	4-1
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ 	(08) 5) Notice of Inf	/Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)	45 H
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		
S. Patent and Trademark Office TOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Office	e Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20060209	10-06-

Art Unit: 2838

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- a. Species 1: claims 1-8 (i.e., Fig. 3); and
- b. Species 2; claims 9-23 (i.e., Fig. 4).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over

Application/Control Number: 10/784,755

Art Unit: 2838

the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35

U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Matthew V. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571)

272-2081.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is

(571) 272-2800.

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 3